

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	I	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/611,759		07/01/2003	John McFarland Harris	CE09392R	2160		
22917	7590	09/25/2006		EXAM	EXAMINER		
MOTOROI		QUIN ROAD	LU,	LU, JIA			
IL01/3RD	LECOIN	QUIT NOTE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
SCHAUMB	URG, IL	60196	2611	•			
					DATE MAILED: 09/25/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			\$V
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<del></del>
	10/611,759	HARRIS ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jia W. Lu	2611	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address	<b>5</b>
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMENTS AS A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMENTS AS A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLEMENTS AS A SHORTENED STATE OF THE MAILING IT SHORTENED STATE AS A SHORTENED STATE OF THE METERS AS A SHORTENED STATE OF THE METER	DATE OF THIS COMMUN .136(a). In no event, however, may d will apply and will expire SIX (6) M te, cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed  ONTHS from the mailing date of this commun ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
3) Since this application is in condition for allow	is action is non-final. ance except for formal ma	•	its is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ⊠ Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdress 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) 1,8,11,14,16,17 and 20 is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) 2-7,9,10,12,13,15,18 and 19 is/are claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration. ed. objected to.		
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>01 July 2003</u> is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ obj e drawing(s) be held in abey ction is required if the drawi	rance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). ng(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documer 2. Certified copies of the priority documer 3. Copies of the certified copies of the pri application from the International Bures * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in ority documents have bee au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No en received in this National Stag	e
Attachment(s)  Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper N	v Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date f Informal Patent Application 	

Art Unit: 2611

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 1. Claims 1 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chen et al in US application publication 2004/0203463 A1 (hereafter '463).
  - a. Regarding claim 1, '463 shows a method used in a wireless system, comprising receiving a wireless communication containing a frame having a plurality of bearer bits (figure 6, element 612), determining when frame passes a predefined quality parameter (element 616), analyzing at least a portion of the bearer bits when frame does not pass (elements 622,624,626,628), and determining when the frame is an erased frame based on the analyzing (element 634).
  - b. Claim 8 inherits the limitations of claim 1 above, further, '463 describes identifying the frame as a DTX when the analyzed data fails to exceed a threshold (paragraph 0063).

Application/Control Number: 10/611,759 Page 3

Art Unit: 2611

c. Regarding claim 11, '463 describes the communication system to receive a plurality of communications and selecting one (paragraph 0024).

- d. Regarding claim 14, '463 describes identifying the frame as erased when the analyzed data exceeds a threshold (paragraph 0063), and controlling a power (paragraph 0072).
- e. Claim 17 inherit the limitations of claim 1 above, further, '463 describes the method implemented in a transceiver (figure 7).
- f. Regarding claim 20, '463 shows another transceiver station comprising the same elements as those in claim 17 (figure 1, element 106). While this is a terminal instead of a base station, it is inherent that the functions of a terminal and a base station are interchangeable.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.

Application/Control Number: 10/611,759 Page 4

Art Unit: 2611

4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

2. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US application publication 2004/0203463 A1, further in view of US patent 6,269,331. while '463 does not specify how power level is adjusted when a frame is identified as a DTX, it is well known in the art that DTX requires less power (see '331, column 1, lines 25-35) compared to an erased frame, which requires more power, it would have been obvious to one ordinarily skilled in the art to maintain the same transmit power level in the power adjustment unit of '463 in order to operate at a most power efficient level.

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 2-7, 9,10,12,13,15,18,19 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jia W. Lu whose telephone number is 571-272-6042. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon- Fri, 10:30AM-6:30PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/611,759 Page 5

Art Unit: 2611

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh M. Fan can be reached on (571)272-3042. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Jia Lu Examiner

CHIEH M. FAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER